

OISC Business Meeting Minutes 6/21/17

Hermiston, Oregon

Summary of Actions

Approval to make changes to March 2017 meeting minutes:

- Language clarification in the middle of page 5
 - Correction on page 6: House Bill 2321 not Senate Bill 2321
-

Welcome and Introductions

Rick: Welcome, this is the fun part. Welcome to Hermiston, OR.

Approval of business meeting minutes from March 21st

- Page 5: in the middle- Glenn wants to make the language clear that no decision has been made, but it is supported.
- Page 6: House Bill 2321 not Senate Bill 2321

Motion to approve: Mark

2nd call to approve: Wyatt

All in favor: Unanimous approval

Coordinator update (Jalene)

OISC Message + Products

Council members discussed upcoming OR State Weed Board. Jalene can not attend, but can put materials together for those attending. Dan, Tim, and Tristan will be there. Historically had joint meetings with council and board, something to think about in the future. Wyatt brought up that we need a one-page factsheet about who the council is, what the statewide plan is, the Top 100 list, something that is easy to digest. Jalene says that there is a one-page document for the strategic plan, but a comprehensive outreach document needs to be updated. Other things to consider:

- Previous successes
- What other agencies are doing related to invasive species
- Description of council and agency overlap

Council members discussed the need for development of one presentation that we are all using so that the same message is being shared. Feedback: having one message isn't good enough because different people are at different levels of understanding. Difficult to have just one message to communicate to everyone. Council member suggests that there is one overarching message, so the main message doesn't change, but the specific supporting content can change depending on audience. Need to make sure message of what's going on in the state is getting across.

Jalene: Get something out first week of July- Does that work? Council: Yes.

Jalene and Helmuth met with Alexis Taylor- her question was “What do you want me to share with the Cabinet; What is the ask?”- everyone is asking for money. Maybe we need to be asking a key question, or have a key objective. Ultimately, the key objective is funding. Missing link is we don’t have strong ties, but our directors do. We need to go in and ask for what we want, and be clear about it.

Q: Have we talked to government staff about attending meetings?

A: Yes.

Council members note that there is lot of participation from the Governor’s office at other meetings/events, but they are not engaging our group and it’s frustrating. We get a twice/ year briefing with governor/staff. If they are concerned about natural resources, they should care about invasive species. We need to make sure it is understood that invasive species impacts their work.

Outcomes: Jalene will update one-Pager and will send out OISC PPT first week of July, 2017

Pacific Northwest Economic Region & Summit

Jalene reached out to a number of council members about speaking at PNWER. Topics centered around mussels in AM. Included more horticulture, nursery, JB, AGM, SOD, etc. in PM. Coordinator’s role has been to work with speakers, putting together materials and collecting feedback on regional action items. Action items were sourced from each of the different speakers’ topic areas. The working group in the past had really long action lists that don’t get acted on.

Q: How about adding sage grouse?

A: Not on the agenda. Focus is mussels because of the money- Montana got \$10 million after they were found recently. In the past, there was push to not focus on anything other than mussels.

Council members expressed interest in participating, but daily registration fee is \$350 per day. Jalene mentioned that there is an invasive species link for discount, not only for speakers. Will send out the link.

Rick mentioned that we need a lot of help to fight ballast water moving to out of state control, since it weakens everything that has been done. Jalene also mentioned that Ryan and Allan are going to pull together a handout. Ryan supports dropping ballast water from the PNWER agenda; he is waiting for it to be scheduled for debate on senate floor. That amendment is a starting point for discussion. Trouble is that the only people involved in negotiation are staffers.

Q: What is format of PNWER?

A: Speakers, panels, packed with info.

Outcomes: Jalene will send out link for PNWER discount.

Strategic Plan and Action Plan Overview

Centers around 5 key objectives. Want feedback on 2 year document.

Thinking about larger pathways approach.

- Prevention
- EDRR- detection networks, research, rapid response plans, important for top 100
- Control and Management- new methods
- Education and Outreach
- Coordination- website as info. hub, not reinventing wheel

Question for group: How can we evaluate and track the success of the Action Plan? Need to have collecting and reporting platform, then can update the action plan.

Step 1: Collecting information- not a brand new form. Can utilize meetings and networks.

Step 2: Draft report- special work session at meetings

Step 3: Update plan

Step 4: Outreach and stories

Q: What is pathways report?

A: Something we put together before we put together strategic plan.

Q: What's the collaborative cross-taxa idea?

A: Need for more border inspections. Instead of international, think domestic- similar to California.

Feedback

- Michelle: glad to see something in place- making sure we are on track with Action Plan items.
- Wyatt: going through council minutes is best way to do it. I already have to do a lot of accomplishment reporting, don't want to do that. Can follow up with specific questions. Need to pull from meeting minutes to fill out report first and then fill in the gaps.

Outcomes: Jalene will sit down with groups of council members to go over these things. Will give call or email for other information. Can discuss further at next meeting.

Council Member Presentation (Kathy Leopold)- Gave PPT presentation

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB), Small Grant Program Coordinator

OWEB 101

- State agency to help Oregonians to protect and restore healthy watersheds.
- Provides support for local economies.
- Positive impact with neighbors talking to neighbors.
- Measure 76 passed, gave 15% of lottery revenue to split between parks and OWEB. Get funds from Lottery, some federal funds, Salmon license plates, other state funding.
- OWEB led by 17 member oversight board- there are voting and non-voting members.
- OWEB has many grant types: not trying for one size fits all- open solicitation, operating capacity, focused investment, and other grants. S, M, L options lets folks choose.

Invasive species connection: Fund a lot of weed grants. For example, one project leader brought in sheep to eat weeds. Watershed restoration resists invasives- preventative work.

Q: How much money does OWEB spend on invasive species?

A: I don't know, not sure how we would pull that information out- we don't ask that question specifically. Tough to track. There may be a way to pull from final metrics- can talk to reporting folks.

A: Could maybe not report monetary amount, but maybe actions instead

A: In a lot of funding applications, asks "how does this meet actions?". Good way to get information from on the ground projects.

Tim: OWEB- \$2.5 million in funds towards OR State Weed Board. Other component- OWEB funds other weed related grants. Request put in for additional funding to support county weed control programs, helping with infrastructure- hopefully board will approve that.

Q: Can you give examples of some small grants?

A: Instream projects, upland projects, juniper cutting, in-valley manure management, agricultural water projects, culvert replacements.

Q: Who are primary recipients of OWEB grants?

A: Tribes, watershed councils

Emergency Account Project Updates

Sudden Oak Death (Wyatt Williams)- Gave PPT presentation

Wyatt Williams reported out on Sudden oak death eradication efforts. Spread through spores, one genetic clone. Fighting since 2001. New genetic clone EU1. Did 2015 treatment with APHIS \$. Detected another area with EU1 but didn't have the funding. Had federal funds, but required 1:1 state funds. Keeps expanding. 52-acre polygon, \$63 thousand of council funds. Started with a couple trees with EU1, but it is spreading. Used left over money for treatment at another spot. Results haven't come back yet, but think it's new EU1. Landowner issues- getting more and more frustrated since disease is expanding. Down to the end of funding. SOD Task force-trying for \$5.7 million. Currently scrambling to get funds. Every time we do it, we get better. Since 2001, success is 60-70% overall.

- HB3151- Brock Smith, EDRR, Ed & Out, etc. \$1.7 million every biennium.
- Survey and detections underway for 2017- 20 new detections.
- Goals:
 - NA1 Lineage: Slow the spread
 - EU1: EDRR possible if we get funding

Big risk of it moving up north. How can we stop spread? High intensity survey- not on table. Want to conserve genetic diversity of tanoak. Collecting acorns. Experiments with OSU resistance/screening, looking at new control techniques.

Jim: This is a classic example of the importance of EDRR. California is a good example of what happens with failure. Threat to Nursery industry. EU threat to Doug fir.

Q: What about Bay laurels?

A: Yes, but not driver. Only sporulates on tanoak.

Q: Why not take out tanoak?

A: Risky- have seen long distance spread. Not carried by human activity. All wind/rain spread except nursery trade. Keep finding new trees, trying to treat as soon as possible.

Q: Could climate change facilitate or inhibit?

A: Not sure yet. Want to stockpile genetic diversity of Tanoak.

Japanese Beetle (Tim Butler)

- See [ODA Japanese Beetle Eradication Update](#)

- EDRR Project
- Could be very devastating to nursery industry.
- Found in Beaverton area (Cedar Mill = unincorporated Washington County)
- Been successful- 2388 properties, not like dealing with rural areas with big blocks of land, ODA treated 2121 properties. 166 admin warrants issued. State statutes, have authority. Eventually everyone, but 2, said yes.

Q: What are 2 holdouts?

A: 2 Outright refusals- affidavit for medical (cancer, etc.) thinks chemical would cause flare-up.

- Major accomplishment- lots of community outreach.
- Debriefed with Samara Group recently. Greenwaste going to landfill so it doesn't spread to other areas. Now in trapping stage to see how successful it was. Some emergency funds allocated by council helped to support this. \$1.3 million in other funds. Good support from Governor and Legislature.

Top 100 & Oregon Report Card (Jalene Littlejohn)

Report Card: Open discussion and participatory exercise

Started by founding fathers- wanted something legislative, wanted a way to show what the council is doing. Used to be every year, at another meeting decided to do biennium report card.

Feedback/Discussion for each category:

- Excluding- **Overall Grade: B**
 - Tristen: Expansion of AGM, Japanese beetle, SOD
 - Wyatt: Online integration of pest detectors. Increase in funding (extra from harvest tax) went towards OISC hotline- \$10,000.
 - Tania: Have we failed to exclude things? That would bring grade down.
 - Tim: Mat grass popping up. Efforts to treat and control quickly.
- Reporting- **Overall Grade: B**

- Tristan: Website needs to be improved. Pictures of things we are looking for, risk assessment, etc. should be easily accessible.
- Jalene: Trying to balance how much we replicate and how much we link out.
- Tristen: We have profile of every noxious weed that we can link out to.
- Outreach and Education- **Overall Grade: C-**
 - Outreach to students was good
 - Outreach to legislature is “F”
 - Did get audience before house Ag and resources committee- “D” for that day
 - Council members frustrated that the committee was invited to do informational meeting, but then were unable to present.
 - Senator testified, committee engaged and interested. Didn’t = more money, but they were interested.
 - Had to ask permission from agency leaders to go testify, but didn’t even get up to microphone.
 - Q: *Do we have website stats?*
 - A: *Not here, but we will report out at next meeting.*
- Action Plan and Coordination- **Overall Grade: B**
 - Jalene: we have a new strategic plan, successfully brought on coordinator. I meet regularly with neighboring councils.

Council member discussion about why there are lower grades than A for prevention:

- Mark: Got low grade because they got here- they shouldn’t have gotten here.
- Tim: That’s a tall order. Work on EDRR is bigger testament to success.
- Nicole: We cannot inspect 100% steel slabs. Unsafe work. Is impossible goal. If we successfully eradicated them, that is an achievable goal.
- Michelle: I agree. We’ve done a lot of work on the land, but we could improve.
- Glenn: Helmuth has reported that the insect budget has declined. Maybe JB Could have been detected earlier.
- Jim: If you give yourself good grade on keeping something out, you have to prove the negative. How soon did you detect it? How did you respond it? You can’t prove you kept something out.

Goals going forward:

- Goals for Reporting
 - Continuing to improve usability of hotline.
 - Need to clarify: are we basing our success on if we get a lot of reports or if we respond? That we have a reporting tool or effectively responding to it? Are they reporting species that we want them to report?
- Goals for Outreach and Education
 - Building out information hub on website
 - More involvement with other stakeholders
 - Connections with commissions and boards
 - Glenn: How does Michelle feel about the OACD? Very few of our invasive species bills on their radar.
 - Mark: Should talk to OACD for support.
 - Michelle: I was contacted specifically for Weed Board funding to make sure they had a voice. I presented testimony on their behalf.
 - Glenn: Maybe just AIS that they aren’t in tune with.
 - Michelle: They think it’s a beast too great for them to tackle.

- Glenn: We need to try to change that. Idaho and Montana or Wyoming (not sure which one) has SWCDs helping to run their inspection stations. Going to reach out to Irrigation Districts.

Outcome: Jalene will get website statistics and will share at next meeting.

Top 100

Useful planning and tracking tool: What are the species that we are trying to prevent or eradicate? During last review it was decided that it was helpful to identify which objective each invasive species currently falls under.

Questions for group:

- What are some glaring things that need to be removed, added, or changed?
- How can we adapt this tool?

Council Member Feedback:

- Jim expressed concern about Gorse being taken off Top 100 list. Other opinion is that some of the sites where it is found are areas that have had Gorse historically.
- Michelle: would like to see garlic mustard on the list, but the way it was discussed at last meeting, is supposed to be a prevention list.
- Tania: This is prevention list, but is also for education purposes. What are the species we should be looking out for? Where do we talk about Gorse? Maybe we could expand categories, i.e. public awareness.
- Rick suggested ideas: 1) eliminate the 100 list or 2) make bigger; or make regional lists.
- Mark: Like idea of link to action plan. Also could include who is responsible. Could help inform people on what's happening in the state. "Top 10" put together by advisory committee. Could update every year.
- Wyatt: Argument in favor of keeping list big- grant justification document. Top 100 list made it into the ODF strategic plan. Tangible for leaders. Could drop the number from the title- could be broken into those that we are trying to keep out and those that we are trying to eradicate.
- Rian: Top 100 list contentious every year. Always about whether prevention only or EDRR. Come back to founding idea. If it's not on the list, it doesn't mean it's not important. I want to second 1) prevention only list, 2) EDRR list, 3) getting rid of number from title. Can be used as a reference, a database, etc. Also, could include "Hot topics" section on website
- Jalene: Like local aspect of it. Local prioritization. WA does scoring matrix for council to help direct Justin's time. Something for us to consider. Something for us to think about for a way to objectively do top lists. Can pull from other lists that have already been done.
- Tania: Like the idea of regional lists. Could go on our hotline.
- Tim: Propose keeping 100 worst list, but also having top 10 by region
- Mark: Is there interest in making into database? Could have someone create. Could put on webpage. Summarize data by identifying pathways.
- Rick: Agree to remove number from title. "Top invaders" is fine, or something catchier. If you have catchy title, send to Jalene. Also add "who" column. Put top 10 on clackamas topics. How would we do regions?
 - 5 regions: Coast, valley, central, eastern, southern (klamath to rogue river area)
 - When developing regional lists, important to involve local CWMA's. Would never be a

top-down thing.

Outcome:

- Updates to Top 100 list
 - 1) prevention only list
 - 2) EDRR list
 - 3) getting rid of number from title.
 - 4) add “who” column
 - 5) 5 regions: coast, valley, central, eastern, southern
- Put top 10 on list for Clackamas meeting

OISC Budget & Funding (Jalene Littlejohn & Tim Butler)

Jalene: Need to know if invoices have been paid. Estimate is \$4-5 thousand left. We are coming into new biennium with about \$100 thousand, which is about half of where we were previously.

Q: Does that include emergency funds?

A: No

Q: So we have a few weeks to spend what's left over?

A: Yes, need guidance from ODA

A: Could be used for travel.

Tim: Looks like \$50,000 coming into 2017-2019 biennium. \$1.4 billion deficit. Additional funding doesn't look good, but still possible. One idea: Ex-officio members could contribute \$20,000 if they are able.

Outside groups carry a lot of weight for these programs. Helmuth's notes: changes in council, adding or changing membership, get it in statute

Q- Wyatt: Would ODA do that?

A- Tim: ODA would take the lead, but up to council.

Rian mentions that we need to be careful to not characterize loss of funding as loss of attention on council. Initial funding was never intended on being long-term, was sort of a fluke. Characterize it as an opportunity that we took advantage of and used to support the coordinator. Seeing a \$100k decline, people might think “why is the state declining their support?”, but that's not the case. Turn around to look at all the great things we did.

Jalene brought up that she was talking to folks last night about how it's hard to fundraise for council, might be better to fundraise for things we are doing or products instead (i.e. detector network, summit, hotline, etc.) Tim mentions hotel tax idea, replenishing emergency fund. Wyatt already proposed a lodging tax during 2hr meeting- at the end they didn't say no. It would be 0.1% tax that would provide up to \$10 million a year. County ballot initiatives, not a good time politically. Hard to get a meeting with Natural resource cabinet.

Rian asked about discussions with leadership to chip in each biennium?

- Rick: My advisor wasn't opposed to it
- Tim: Helmuth would likely support
- Glenn: supervisor is open to idea
- Wyatt: supervisor has open mind

Jim mentioned that we have a close relationship with Travel Oregon and we could try to reach out.

- Jalene: Had it on my list for a while to follow up with Jim about Travel OR, just hasn't moved forward- needs to be on priority list

Rian suggests we provide council letter, signed by the council- it would carry more weight and could be used by other council members.

- Jalene: Can pair with things we've achieved with things we need funding for
- Wyatt: A lot of unknowns right now. Layoffs, deletions, etc. Let's open it up to not just ex-officio members.
- Jalene: Can get creative with our asks

Outcome:

- Follow-up with Jim about Travel Oregon
- Create letter of support, signed by council and pair with things the council has achieved and things we need funding for

Don't Pack a Pest (Kayla Martin)

Kayla attended NAFSA conference at the end of May.

- 700 international students, educating them on things they can and cannot bring into US.
 - International students and education abroad students.
- Second phase: working with US study abroad.
- Have tri-regional conference coming up with 15 states.
 - Need to be able to present at this conference.
 - Those who present have chance to present at international conference.

Committee Updates

Advisory (Mark)

Not much to report on

Jalene: Glenn and I need to discuss new chair, with Mark's input.

Michelle: I would like to be involved too.

Outcome: Jalene, Glenn, and Michelle discuss new chair with Mark's input.

Communications (Jalene)

PNCA Proposal

Student would build product from research. Outreach tables- problem is that it's hard to get people to come over. Invasive species is dry topic at the moment. Could benefit from art. Artist would be selected by OISC based on what type of media is wanted. Jalene would support on back-end.

- Glenn: Oct-Dec isn't really fieldwork time of year
- Michelle: Quiet time of year, which can be good for this, but not much out in the field.
- Jalene: Wouldn't need to set up special event, they would just come to where you are (i.e. lab)

Maureen says that this is a really neat thing- we don't necessarily know how to talk to others about invasive species. Would need to be very clear about what we are asking for from them.

- Jalene: past experience- the more we defined, harder it was for the artist.

Q- Rick: \$4000 from council?

A- Jalene: Yes

Q- Michelle: Is budget written out for next year?

A- Rick: Not yet, need to see what happens with funds.

Jalene: We should pencil things out for everyone to review

Q- Jalene: Any feedback for Emily?

A- Glenn: I like idea, depends on budget. At September meeting, might have better idea.

Outcome: Pencil out PNCA proposal for council to review at September meeting.

Upcoming Events & Blog Stories (Jalene)

- State fair (event)
- Photos from training AIS from Dan (blog)- want summary from Dan
- Weed Board meeting next week
- Western governor's association (getting feds on board for terr and aq inspections)
- USFS Workshop
- Garlic Mustard blog
- OR Forest pest detector
- Japanese beetle wrap-up

Outcome: Get AIS training summary from Dan

Education & Outreach: PNCA Project (Tania Siemens)

Tania: Emily B. hosted us at PNCA- provided presentation about work they do, and how you can utilize art to engage science. Really wonderful concept to raise awareness through artistic expression. As council, we did exercise to come up with ways to reach out- one thing that came up was to update website, make it a go-to place for info about EDRR, control, content and guidelines that support our strategic objectives. The other idea was to adapt the media design contest. 2015 council supported DLIL contest, we developed guidelines, put a lot of work into creating process, recruiting teachers, etc. Had a successful outcome. Resulted in an award for 2 teachers. A lot of work has been put into engaging high school students. The idea of a contest created more work (reviewers, submissions, awards, etc.), so maybe take that idea and adapt it to another format. We could invite teachers to submit art from their students- wouldn't be a contest. We would provide guidelines, a venue as an incentive (PDX Airport?). We already have the guidelines, it seems like low-hanging fruit. Maybe create a mini-committee to set up and go through process. Part of the incentive for teachers is to have their student's artwork

displayed. Thinking about DPAP since it is directly related to traveling- need to talk more about it to see how it would work- great incentive for highschool students. Door is open.

Q: Could it be like one of those lightboxes they have at the airport?

A: Yes

Tania: At this point we would need council approval for Jalene's time. Wouldn't be large expense for council at this time. I am willing to volunteer some hours. Materials and recruiting expenses would need to be included. Maybe \$1000. Need to pull committee together.

Q: Does anyone want to volunteer?

A: Tristan, Nicole, Marie offered. Michelle is Maybe.

Q: Should we take motion here?

A: We should wait and do through email before next meeting. Can do email vote to decide whether to move forward.

Outcomes: Email vote about Jalene's time for PNCA student artwork project before next meeting.

Education & Outreach: Invasive Species Cook-off & State Fair

Talked about having OISC presence at Invasive species cook-off- Michelle went last minute. Conversations at the cook-off were disappointing- Invasive species wasn't really a focus- (E.G. "We don't care about ecological impacts, we just want to eat them"). Message was completely lost- maybe get a display to get the message out so people understand bigger picture. Also it's on the weekend of the eclipse this year. At very least- we should try to have conversation with them. Might be time to re-up our message.

Q: Are they wanting to charge?

A: Need to have another conversation, but haven't said that specifically. They have asked for our participation, but not explicitly money. Last year they were open to us bringing materials without asking for money

Wyatt: Makes sense for OISC to be present and spend a little bit of council funds to get our message across. Could bring 1-page fact sheet. Maybe \$500 for council to spend. Wealthy people attend, prime opportunity there.

Q: What date?

A: On Aug 19th, 2017. Bad weekend- eclipse.

Tim & Tristan will have invasive species booth at state fair last week of August. Will be there 10-6. It will be fun. If interested send email, good opportunity. Wyatt mentioned that ODF will also have a booth there. Coordinator suggests that it is better use of invasive species funds at Fair, but still want to talk to Cook-off people.

Q: Can we do both or one or the other?

A: Need to figure out; same investment and time for both. Not a lot of additional time. Same materials used.

Q: What happened to banners that were used in the past? From years ago. Don't know where they went.

A: Jalene: I don't have a banner, but we have a pop-up. Don't have any OISC Branded materials right now.

Wyatt suggests the fair would be better payoff- but we haven't done the paperwork and haven't been asked to do exhibit at the fair yet. Michelle went to both events last year, says volume is definitely higher at fair. If there is a request to sponsor event, a decision needs to be made.

Q: How many hours to prepare? Need better handle on that.

A: Really just an investment of going.

Michelle suggested that council could get conservation tech or intern to go if we don't want to pay for Jalene to go. Used to have to pay our own way to go. Maybe sponsorship could include 2 free tickets. We have been asked to participate, but not sponsor.

Q: How much money are we talking about?

A: Entry by donation (\$10-50) or request to be sponsor to have table there. Need to follow-up.

Council discussion: Dollars are going to be tight. Have hard time spending state dollars on private organizations. We are state experts, we shouldn't have to pay to participate. Rick would not support financially, but would support presence. Get them to cover cost if they want us to participate.

Q- Rick: Is anyone interested?

A: No, not yet.

A- Jalene: I'll put out the call.

Outcome: Follow-up regarding IS Cook-off: \$\$ to participate/sponsor and put out call for council members that might be interested in going

Legislative (Rian)

A lot of bills that never made it out of committee- Really just 3 bills that are still alive.

- HB2321- losing faith that it will pass. Bill now has 2 amendments.
- 2535: deserted us
- 3151: what's in the spreadsheet looks accurate. Would restore SOD funding.
- 2043: dead

Amendments to discuss: Number of council members, representation of council members- State (OR State Parks, ODOT, DSL) and federal agencies.

Council members discussed term being 3 years instead of 2, allowing 3 term limits instead of 2, and pulling in new agencies so they are a part of the language. Discussed possibility of making the case of why we want a person to be a part of the council- can go back to the strategic plan and ask also ask the founders why they picked those 5 original agencies (ask Mark).

Q: What is the optimal size of the council

A: Big time commitment. Working with governor's office is time consuming, shepherding bills through is also very time consuming. Need to identify who will play it out all the way to the end.

- Rian: Having the bill out there opens the door for dialogue about other issues
- Glenn: 15-20 bills (on spreadsheet) aren't moving forward
- Rlan: Those aren't our bills
- Glenn: They are invasive species bills
- Rian: Need to flesh out, then work with ODA
- Rick: Might have easier time because it is membership and we aren't creating something from scratch.
- Rian: This would be for 2019 biennium

Bylaws (Glenn)

Minor edits to suggest- will put together proposal for next meeting to approve. Rick has comments too.

Q-Wyatt: Can anything be checked-off action plan?

A-Jalene: I'll check.

Outcome:

- Glenn pull together proposal for approval at next meeting.
- Jalene see if anything can be checked-off action plan
- Ask Mark why founders picked 5 original agencies

Open discussion

- Jalene: Eileen is resident in area. Having issues with proper disposal with weeds that are being pulled. Need to get resources to her.
- Monica: SOLVE- have small grants available. Support stuff year round.

Outcome: Get Eileen resources

Attendees

Monica Gunderson, SOLVE
Hans Rudolf, Oregon Department of Forestry
Theodore Orr, Umatilla Co. Weed Board
Chris Schachtschneider, Oregon State University Extension
Jenny Lippert, US Forest Service
Marie Hepner, Samara Group
Dan Sherwin, High Valley Enterprises
Tristen Berg, Oregon Department of Agriculture

Mark Sytsma, Portland State University
Noelle Moen, Oregon State University
Kayla Martin, Oregon Sea Grant
Jalene Littlejohn, Samara Group
Tim Butler, Oregon Department of Agriculture
Nicole Brooks, US Customs and Border Control
Maureen Minister, Port of Portland
Kathy Leopold, Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
Rick Boatner, Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
Glenn Dolphin, Oregon State Marine Board
Michelle Delepine, West Multnomah Soil and Water Conservation District
Wyatt Williams, Oregon Department of Forestry
Tania Siemens, Oregon Sea Grant

On the Phone:

Jim Seely, Wild River Coast Alliance
Rian Hooff, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality